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About “Real” Users

What are they?

- Any person or process that interacts with the system.
- Human Users
  - Customers
  - Employees
  - Administrators
  - Researchers
  - Competitors
- System Users
  - Nightly back-ups
  - Automatic database synchronization
  - Batch processing of data
About “Real” Users

Why must they be accurately modeled?

- Results from inaccurately modeled tests are nearly always inaccurate, and often lead to incorrect decisions.
- The only way to predict actual user experience (end-to-end response time) is to execute tests using realistic User Community Model(s).
- Extrapolating expected performance based on incomplete models doesn’t work.

"The one thing that matters the most is not how your site behaves under theoretical or simulated conditions, but how well it works when you plug it into the wall and let everyone come hit your box from all across the world"

— Serdar Yegulalp in "Website Stress-Testing"
Identifying User Activities for Inclusion

80/20 rule
- 20% of the possible activities will be performed 80% of the time.

Don’t waste time with rare activities unless they are…
- high visibility activities
  - Creating initial user profile.
  - Updating payment information.
- high importance activities
  - Submitting stock trade.
  - Paying bill on-line.
- performance intensive activities
  - Importing the monthly report from “the other system”.
  - Requesting payment history.
Randomization/Conditional Logic

Real Users

- React
- Have Unique Data
- Navigate Differently
- Connect at Different Rates
- Have Different Hardware
Randomization/Conditional Logic

Real Users React
- Ensure your tests represent the fact that real users react to the application.

Vary Data
- Make sure that data being entered is unique for each simulated user.
- Make sure that each simulated users is unique (this may mean more than just separate IDs and Passwords).

Vary Navigation Paths
- If there is more than one way for a user to accomplish a task in the application, your test must represent that.
- Different paths through the system often stress different parts of the system.
Randomization/Conditional Logic

Vary Connection Rates
- If users will be accessing the system via different connection rates, test those rates.
- Calculating the theoretical difference in performance based on connection speed gives a reasonable “best case” estimate, but isn’t generally accurate.

Vary Client Specific Issues
- Sufficient processor/RAM
- Browser (i.e. is gzip compression accepted)
- Multi-media processing
- Accessibility options
Randomization/Conditional Logic

Abandonment

- If a page takes too long to display, users will eventually abandon your site – thus lessening the load – changing the overall performance.

- Not simulating abandonment makes your test unintentionally more stressful than real life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page Name</th>
<th>Abandonment Distribution</th>
<th>Abandonment Min Time</th>
<th>Absolute Abandonment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home Page</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>5 sec</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay Bill</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
<td>10 sec</td>
<td>240 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search Web</td>
<td>Negexp</td>
<td>8 sec</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit Taxes</td>
<td>Inverse Negexp</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
<td>900 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validate Field</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>5.5 sec</td>
<td>20 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Randomization/Conditional Logic

Ensure that simulated users make decisions like real users.

- They make decisions about what page to click next based on:
  - Search results
  - Item price
  - Requested personal information

- This “intelligent surfing” must be accounted for in your tests.
User Think Times

Users Think… and Type

- Guess what? They all do it at different speeds!
- Guess what else? It's your job to figure out how to model and script those varying speeds.

Determine how long they think

- Log files
- Industry research
- Observation
- Educated guess/Intuition
- Combinations are best
User Think Times

Think Time Distributions

- **Static distribution**
  - Waiting for exactly x seconds every time that page is called.
  - Only useful in rare circumstances like timed redirection.

- **Uniform (linear) distribution**
  - Waiting a random amount of time between given min and max times that average out to a consistent distribution over many users.
  - Most useful for pages that are being ‘read’ or ‘scanned’ for the next link.
User Think Times

Think Time Distributions

- Ideal Normal (bell curve)

  - Waiting is centered around a ‘normal’ value and tapers off to either side at a rate based on a standard deviation.
  - Normal curves are most mathematically representative of most human interaction. When in doubt, use a normal distribution.

![Ideal Normal Distribution](image)
User Think Times

Think Time Distributions
- Negative Exponential Distributions
  - ‘One-tailed’ curve. Waiting is usually close to a minimum value, but sometimes takes longer.
  - Represents activities such as clicking the link after viewing a multimedia presentation.
User Think Times

Think Time Distributions

- Combinations and complex distributions
  - Waiting can take any pattern using combinations of the distributions discussed.
  - One common example is the “Double Hump Normal Distribution” for a home page. First time viewers will read the page (right hump) return viewers will click through (left hump).
  - Build a delay that matches your observations.

![Double Hump Normal Distribution](image)
User Think Times

Incorporating into your model

- Every page has a think time – after you determine the think time for that page, document it.
- These think times should cause your script to pace like real users.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Std</th>
<th>Req't</th>
<th>Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure name:</td>
<td>Initial Navigation()</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer name:</td>
<td>tmr_home_page</td>
<td>negexp</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer name:</td>
<td>tmr_login</td>
<td>normdist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer name:</td>
<td>tmr_page1</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer name:</td>
<td>tmr_data_entry</td>
<td>negexp</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer name:</td>
<td>tmr_page2</td>
<td>normdist</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer name:</td>
<td>tmr_submit_transaction</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer name:</td>
<td>tmr_signout</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Model Visualization Technique

User Community Modeling Language
- Method to visually represent the model
- Shows
  • Activities
  • Paths
  • Distribution of users
  • Areas where data is varied
  • User decision points
- Documented in User Experience, not Metrics parts 3, 4 and 12 available at www.perftestplus.com
Model Visualization Technique

Budgeting Module

- New Activity (34%)
- New Report or Analysis (80%)
- Analysis Type (3%)
  - Analysis (5%)
  - Report Type (2%)
  - Vary Options
- Submit/Reject (2%)
  - Vary Options
- Check Out (93%)
  - Check In
  - Budget Work Items (95%)
  - New Budget Center (94%)
  - Enter Data (84%)
  - Add Budget Entry/Account (1%)
  - Analysis (5%)
  - Export to Excel (3%)
- Budget Work Items
- Eval Report
- Login (100%)
- Analysis
- Preparation
- Home Page
- Logout (66%)
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Load Generation Tools Overview

Popular Tools

- Mercury (LoadRunner, Astra LoadTest)
- Rational Robot (previously Performance Studio)
- Segue SilkPerformer
- Empirix E-Test Suite
- Radview WebLoad
- Compuware QALoad
- OpenSTA
- Microsoft Web Application Stress Tool
Load Generation Tools Overview

How They Work

- Capture protocol level traffic.
- Use scripting language to replay that traffic.
- Do not interact with client system on playback.
- Do not actually invoke browsers on playback.
- Can simulate many users from many machines (IP Spoofing).
- Do not evaluate correctness of returned page, just codes and sizes.
- If done correctly, no application tier can tell the difference.
Realizing User Models With Tools

Load generation tools…
- do not interact with client side portions of the application.
- do not natively evaluate correctness of returned pages.
- often don’t handle conditional navigation.
- do not handle abandonment well.

Scripting concepts
- Record – EDIT – playback
- Add data variance
- Add delays
- Add conditional logic
- Add code to evaluate correctness of key pages
- Add abandonment functions

Scripting is not as easy as the tool vendors would like you to believe!
Realizing User Models With Tools

Full Path Scripting (1 script for every navigation path)

Pros:
- Easy to record
- Easy to visualize

Cons:
- Many Scripts
- Difficult to maintain
- Much overhead / code duplication

Diagram:
- Users
- Home Page
  - Name Search Script (30%)
    - Name Search
    - Purchase
  - Best Seller Script (50%)
    - Select Best Seller
    - Purchase
  - Fiction Script (20%)
    - Fiction Books
    - Purchase
Realizing User Models With Tools

Path Segment Scripting (1 script for every horizontal line in model)

Pros:
- Easier to maintain
- Reduces recording time
- Less overhead / code duplication

Cons:
- Shared and/or persistent variables
- Still many scripts
Realizing User Models With Tools

Smart Scripting (1 script for every logical segment)

Pros:
- Easy to maintain
- Least code duplication
- No shared/persistent variables
- Minimum overhead / number of scripts

Cons:
- More difficult to script initially

![Diagram of user model with probabilities for different actions.]

Name Search (30%)
- Help (10%)
- Review (25%)
- Fiction Books (20%)
- Survey (5%)

Select Best Seller (50%)
- Purchase (75%)
- Exit (25%)
Where to go for more information

User Experience, not Metrics: Parts 2-4
Beyond Performance Testing: Part 4
http://www.PerfTestPlus.com (My site)
http://www.QAForums.com (Huge QA Forum)
http://www.loadtester.com (Good articles and links)
http://www.segue.com/html/s_solutions/papers/s_wp_info.htm (Good articles and statistics)
http://www.keynote.com/resources/resource_library.html (Good articles and statistics)
Examples/Exercises

Determine User Abandonment Exercise
Determine User Think Time Exercises
Demos

Watch this!
Summary

We simulate real users to:

- Increase confidence in results.
- Improve our ability to make sound decisions.
- Ensure our application performs acceptably on go-live day.

User Community Models are visual representations of real users.

Never think about tool limitations when modeling. Scripting real users isn’t always easy.
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